

An introduction to equivariant motivic homotopy theory

Timmy Feng

October 22, 2021

1 Equivariant geometry

1.1 Group scheme action

In this section, we assume B is an arbitrary base scheme and G is a flat finitely generated group scheme over B .

Definition . Given an action $\sigma : G \times S \rightarrow S$, a *quasi-coherent G -module on S* is a quasi-coherent sheaf \mathcal{M} on S , together with the isomorphism of $\mathcal{O}_{G \times S}$ -modules:

$$\phi : \sigma^* \mathcal{M} \rightarrow p_2^* \mathcal{M}$$

that satisfies the cocycle condition. We denote by $\mathrm{QCoh}^G(S)$ the category of quasi-coherent G -modules on S .

Remark . We may view this as two compatible actions: the action σ on the scheme and the action on the sheaf \mathcal{M} . For example, if \mathcal{M} is a vector bundle over S , a G -module \mathcal{M} is a G -action on \mathcal{M} which covers σ .

Definition . The G -fixed-point functor $(-)^G : \mathrm{QCoh}^G(B) \rightarrow \mathrm{QCoh}(B)$ is the functor π_* , in which $\pi : G \rightarrow B$ is the structure map.

1.2 Quasi-affine and Quasi-projective morphisms

Definition . Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a G -morphism.

(1) G -quasi-affine (resp. G -affine) if there exists a locally free G -module \mathcal{E} on Y and a quasi-compact G -immersion (resp. a closed G -immersion) $X \hookrightarrow \mathbb{V}(\mathcal{E})$.

(2) G -quasi-projective (resp. G -projective) if there exists a locally free G -module \mathcal{E} on Y and a quasi-compact G -immersion (resp. a closed G -immersion) $X \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})$.

Definition . Let X be a G scheme. We say that X has the *G -resolution property* if, for every generated quasi-coherent G -module \mathcal{M} on X , there exists a locally free G -module of finite rank \mathcal{E} and an epimorphism $\mathcal{E} \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{M}$.

Definition . A group scheme G over B is called *linearly reductive* if the G -fixed-point functor $(-)^G : \mathrm{QCoh}^G(B) \rightarrow \mathrm{QCoh}(B)$ is exact.

We will use linear reductivity only through the through the following lemma.

Lemma . Suppose that B is affine and that G is linearly reductive, and let $p : S \rightarrow B$ be an affine G -morphism. If M is a locally free G -module of finite rank on S , then M is projective in $\mathrm{QCoh}^G(S)$.

Proof. Need to show that $\mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{M}, -) \cong \Gamma_B \circ (-)^G \circ p_* \circ \mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{M}, -)$ is exact. But this is true by checking the exactness of each functor. \square

Definition . Let X be a G -scheme. A G -affine bundle is a G -morphism $Y \rightarrow X$ that is a torsor under a G vector bundle V over X , such that the action $V \times_X Y \rightarrow Y$ is G -equivariant.

1.3 Tame group scheme

Definition . A flat finitely presented group scheme G over B is called *tame* if the following conditions hold:

- B admits a Nisnevich covering by schemes having the G -resolution property.
- G is linearly reductive.

Example . G is tame in the following cases:

- (1) B arbitrary and G is finite locally free of order invertible on B .
- (2) B arbitrary and G is of multiplicative type. (e.g., \mathbb{G}_m or μ_n)
- (3) B has characteristic zero and G is reductive. (e.g. GL_n)

2 Unstable equivariant motivic homotopy theory

In this section, we define the unstable equivariant motivic homotopy ∞ -category $H^G(S)$ associated with a G -scheme S .

Fix a qcqs base scheme B and a tame group scheme G over B . We denote by Sch_B^G the category of G -schemes that are finitely presented over B and are Nisnevich-locally G -quasi-projective. If $S \in \mathrm{Sch}_B^G$, we denote by Sch_S^G the slice category over S . The category Sm_S^G is the full subcategory of Sch_S^G spanned by smooth S -schemes.

$\mathcal{P}(\mathrm{Sm}_S^G) = \mathrm{Fun}(\mathrm{Sm}_S^G, \mathrm{Spc})$ is the ∞ -category of presheaves on Sm_S^G valued in Spc .

Now we introduce two important subcategories of the presheaves, i.e., the presheaves that are homotopy invariant and Nisnevich excisive. We will use then to construct $H^G(S)$ and the localization functors.

2.1 Homotopy invariance and Nisnevich excision

Definition . A presheaf F on Sm_S^G is called *homotopy invariant* if every G affine bundle $Y \rightarrow X$ in Sm_S^G induces an equivalence $F(X) \cong F(Y)$. We denote by $\mathcal{P}_{htp}(\mathrm{Sm}_S^G) \subset \mathcal{P}(\mathrm{Sm}_S^G)$ the full subcategory spanned by the homotopy invariant presheaves.

The localization functor $L_{htp} : \mathcal{P}(\mathrm{Sm}_S^G) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{htp}(\mathrm{Sm}_S^G)$ is the left adjoint of the inclusion. L_{htp} is locally cartesian and preserves finite products [Hoy17, Corollary 3.5].

Definition . Let X be a G -scheme. A *Nisnevich square* over X is a cartesian square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} W & \hookrightarrow & V \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow p \\ U & \xrightarrow{i} & X \end{array}$$

of G schemes where i is an open G immersion, p is étale, and p induces an isomorphism $V \times_X Z \cong Z$, where Z is the reduced closed complement of U in X .

Definition . Let S be a G -scheme. A presheaf F on Sm_S^G is called *Nisnevich excisive* if:

- $F(\emptyset)$ is contractible.
- for every Nisnevich square Q in Sm_S^G , $F(Q)$ is cartesian.

We denote by $\mathcal{P}_{Nis}(\mathrm{Sm}_S^G) \subset \mathcal{P}(\mathrm{Sm}_S^G)$.

Definition . Nisnevich topology on Sm_S^G is the coarsest topology for which:

- the empty sieve covers \emptyset .
- for every Nisnevich square in Sm_S^G , $\{U \xrightarrow{i} X, V \xrightarrow{p} X\}$ generates a covering sieve.

$L_{Nis} : \mathcal{P}(\mathrm{Sm}_S^G) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{Nis}(\mathrm{Sm}_S^G)$ is the localization functor, which is also the sheafification with respect to Nisnevich topology.

2.2 Equivariant motivic spaces

Definition . Let S be a G scheme. A *motivic G -space* over S is a presheaf on Sm_S^G that is both homotopy invariant and Nisnevich excisive. We denote by $\mathrm{H}^G(S) \subset \mathcal{P}(\mathrm{Sm}_S^G)$ the full subcategory of motivic G -spaces over S .

If $f : T \rightarrow S$ is a G -morphism, then the functor

$$f_* : \mathcal{P}(\mathrm{Sm}_T^G) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\mathrm{Sm}_S^G), \quad f_*(F)(X) = F(X \times_S T)$$

induces a functor $f_* : \mathrm{H}^G(T) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^G(S)$. It admits a left adjoint f^* as f_* preserves limits.

If $f : T \rightarrow S$ is a smooth G -morphism, we can identify f^* as precomposition with the forgetful functor. Then f^* itself has a left adjoint $f_{\#}$, which is the left Kan extension along the forgetful functor.

Proposition (Smooth projection formula) . Let $f : Y \rightarrow X$ be a smooth G -morphism and let $B \rightarrow A$ be a morphism in $\mathrm{H}^G(X)$. For every $C \in \mathrm{H}^G(Y)_{/f^*A}$ and every $D \in \mathrm{H}^G(X)_{/B}$, the canonical maps

$$\begin{aligned} f_{\#}(f^*B \times_{f^*A} C) &\rightarrow B \times_A f_{\#}C \\ f^*\mathrm{Hom}_A(B, D) &\rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{f^*A}(f^*B, f^*D) \end{aligned}$$

are equivalences in $\mathrm{H}^G(X)$ and $\mathrm{H}^G(Y)$, respectively.

Proof. It suffices to prove the first equivalence. Since L_{mot} is locally cartesian, it follows from the projection formula of presheaves. \square

Theorem (Glueing) . Let $i : Z \hookrightarrow S$ be a closed G -immersion with open complement $j : U \hookrightarrow S$. Then, for every $F \in \mathbf{H}^G(S)$, the square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} j_{\#}j^*F & \xrightarrow{\epsilon} & F \\ \downarrow ! & & \downarrow \eta \\ U & \xrightarrow{!} & i_*i^*F \end{array}$$

is cocartesian, where $!$ denotes a unique map.

Corollary . Let $i : Z \hookrightarrow S$ be a closed G -immersion. Then the functor $i_* : \text{text}H^G(Z) \rightarrow \text{text}H^G(S)$ is fully faithful.

2.3 Unstable ambidexterity

Definition . A pointed motivic G space over S is a motivic G -space over S equipped with a section $S \rightarrow X$. We denote by $\mathbf{H}_{\bullet}^G(S)$ the ∞ -category of pointed motivic G -spaces, i.e., the undercategory $\mathbf{H}^G(S)_{S/}$. We denote by $(-)_+ : \mathbf{H}^G(S) \rightarrow \mathbf{H}_{\bullet}^G(S)$ the left adjoint to the forgetful functor.

The results in Section 2.2 have analogs in $\mathbf{H}_{\bullet}^G(S)$. In particular, the functors f_* , f_* , $f_{\#}$ lifts to the pointed category.

Proposition . Let $i : Z \hookrightarrow S$ be a closed G -immersion. Then the functor $i_* : \mathbf{H}_{\bullet}^G(Z) \rightarrow \mathbf{H}_{\bullet}^G(S)$ preserves colimit.

By the above proposition, i_* has a right adjoint $i^! : \mathbf{H}_{\bullet}^G(S) \rightarrow \mathbf{H}_{\bullet}^G(Z)$.

Proposition (Pointed glueing) . Let $i : Z \hookrightarrow S$ be a closed G -immersion with open complement $j : U \hookrightarrow S$. For every $X \in \mathbf{H}_{\bullet}^G(S)$,

$$j_{\#}j^*X \rightarrow X \rightarrow i_*i^*X$$

is a cofiber sequence, and

$$i_*i^!X \rightarrow X \rightarrow j_*j^*X$$

is a fiber sequence.

Proof. \square

Corollary (Closed projection formula) . Let $i : Z \hookrightarrow S$ be a closed G -immersion and let $A \in \mathbf{H}_{\bullet}^G(S)$. For every $B \in \mathbf{H}_{\bullet}^G(Z)$ and every $C \in \mathbf{H}_{\bullet}^G(S)$, the canonical maps

$$A \otimes i_*B \rightarrow i_*(i^*A \otimes B).$$

$$\text{Hom}(i^*A, i^!C) \rightarrow i^!\text{Hom}(A, C)$$

are equivalences.

Proof. The first equivalence follows from the pointed glueing and the smooth projection formula. \square

We define the suspension and loop space functor as follows:

$$\Sigma^{\mathcal{M}}: \mathbf{H}_{\bullet}^G(S) \rightleftarrows \mathbf{H}_{\bullet}^G(S) : \Omega^{\mathcal{M}}$$

$$p_{\sharp} s_* \dashv s^! p^*$$

We denote by $S^{\mathcal{M}}$ the \mathcal{M} -sphere $\Sigma^{\mathcal{M}} \mathbf{1}_S \in \mathbf{H}_{\bullet}^S(G)$. It follows that $\Sigma^{\mathcal{M}} \simeq S^{\mathcal{M}} \otimes (-)$.

For every short exact sequence of locally free G -modules

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{N} \rightarrow M \rightarrow \mathcal{P} \rightarrow 0$$

, there is a canonical equivalence

$$\Psi : S^{\mathcal{M}} \simeq S^{\mathcal{N}} \otimes S^{\mathcal{P}}$$

If \mathcal{M} is a locally free G -module on $X \in \mathbf{Sm}_S^G$, the *Thom space* of \mathcal{M} is defined by

$$\mathrm{Th}_X(\mathcal{M}) = p_{\sharp} S^{\mathcal{M}}.$$

Theorem (Unstable ambidexterity) . Let \mathcal{E} be a locally free G -module of rank ≥ 1 on S and let

3 Stable equivariant motivic homotopy theory

In this section, we assume that one of the following condition holds:

- G is finitely locally free; or
- B has the G -resolution property.

In general, the definition of $\mathrm{SH}^G(S)$ is harder.

3.1 Equivariant motivic spectra

Given a presentably symmetric monoidal ∞ -category \mathcal{C} and a set of objects X in \mathcal{C} , there exists a functor:

$$\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}[X^{-1}]$$

with the obvious universal property in the $\text{CAlg}(\mathcal{P}r^{L, \otimes})$. Actually we have an explicit description of $\mathcal{C}[X^{-1}]$ as follows:

$$\mathcal{C}[X^{-1}] \simeq \text{Stab}_X(\mathcal{C})$$

as \mathcal{C} -modules.

Definition . Let S be a G -scheme with structure map $p : S \rightarrow B$. The symmetric monoidal ∞ -category of *motivic G -spectra* over S is defined by

$$\text{SH}^G(S) = \mathbf{H}_{\bullet}^G(S)[p^*(\text{Sph}_B)^{-1}].$$

We denote by

$$\Sigma^{\infty} : \text{SH}_{\bullet}^G(S) \rightleftarrows \text{SH}^G(S) : \Omega^{\infty}$$

the canonical adjunction.

We can extend the pullback-pushforward adjunction by the universal properties of $\text{SH}^G(S)$: for every G -morphism $f : T \rightarrow S$,

$$f^* : \text{SH}^G(S) \rightleftarrows \text{SH}^G(T) : f_*$$

Lemma . For every G -morphism $f : T \rightarrow S$, the functor

$$\mathbf{H}_{\bullet}^G(T) \otimes_{\mathbf{H}_{\bullet}^G(S)} \text{SH}^G(S) \rightarrow \text{SH}^G(T)$$

induced by f^* is an equivalence of symmetric monoidal ∞ -categories.

The lemma above shows that we can also extend the adjoint pairs from the previous sections.

If $f : X \rightarrow S$ is smooth, there is an $\text{SH}^G(S)$ adjunction:

$$f_{\sharp} : \text{SH}^G(X) \rightleftarrows \text{SH}^G(S) : f^*$$

If $i : Z \rightarrow S$ is a closed G -immersion, there is an $\text{SH}^G(S)$ adjunction:

$$i_* : \text{SH}^G(Z) \rightleftarrows \text{SH}^G(S) : i^!$$

Remark . The smooth and closed projection formula naturally extend to the stable case.

Remark . In the definition of $\text{SH}^G(S)$, we invert the pullback of the sphere on the base B . This makes easier to define the above functors.

It can be shown that

$$\text{SH}^G(S) = \mathbf{H}_{\bullet}^G(S)[p^*(\text{Sph}_B)^{-1}] \simeq \mathbf{H}_{\bullet}^G(S)[\text{Sph}_S^{-1}].$$

When G is finite locally free, we also have

$$\text{SH}^G(S) = \mathbf{H}_{\bullet}^G(S)[\mathbb{S}^{p^*(\mathcal{O}_G)}^{-1}]$$

where \mathcal{O}_G is the regular representation of G .

3.2 Ambidexterity and Atiyah duality

Theorem (Ambidexterity) . Let $f : X \rightarrow S$ be a smooth proper G -morphism. Then the transformation

$$f_{\sharp}\Sigma^{-\Omega_f} \rightarrow f_* : \mathrm{SH}^G(X) \rightarrow \mathrm{SH}^G(S)$$

is an equivalence.

Proof. f is Nisnevich locally G -projective since it is proper. Thus, without loss of generality, we can assume that f is smooth and G -projective. Then apply the unstable ambidexterity. \square

Corollary (Proper projection formula) . Let $p : Y \rightarrow X$ be proper G -morphism. For every $A \in \mathrm{SH}^G(X)$ and $B \in \mathrm{SH}^G(Y)$, the canonical map

$$A \otimes p_*B \rightarrow p_*(p^*A \otimes B)$$

is an equivalence.

Proof. p is Nisnevich locally G -projective. So it suffices to prove the corollary for p a closed G -immersion or p smooth and G -projective. In the former case, it follows from the closed projection formula. In the latter case, it follows from the smooth projection formula. Thus the corollary follows from the theorem. \square

In the classical stable homotopy theory, for X a compact smooth manifold, the dual of $\Sigma^\infty X_+$ is given by the Thom spectrum $Th(N_X\mathbb{R}^n)$ of its normal bundle $N_X\mathbb{R}^n$. This is called Atiyah duality. We have an analog of this in the stable equivariant motivic homotopy category.

Corollary (Atiyah duality) . Let $f : X \rightarrow S$ be a smooth proper G -morphism. Then $\Sigma^\infty X_+$ is strongly dual to $f_{\sharp}S^{-\Omega_f}$ in $\mathrm{SH}^G(S)$.

Proof. $A \in \mathrm{SH}^G(S)$ is strongly dualizable iff $\mathrm{Hom}(A, \mathbf{1}_S) \otimes B \simeq \mathrm{Hom}(A, B)$ for every B . By the smooth projection formula, $\mathrm{Hom}(f_{\sharp}\mathbf{1}_S, B) \simeq f_*f^*B$. By the proper projection formula $f_*\mathbf{1}_X \otimes B \simeq f_*f^*B$. Thus $f_{\sharp}\mathbf{1}_S$ is strongly dualizable, with dual $f_*\mathbf{1}_X$. By the theorem, $f_*\mathbf{1}_X \simeq f_{\sharp}S^{-\Omega_f}$. \square

Reference:

Marc Hoyois, The six operations in equivariant motivic homotopy theory.

Dan Abramovich, Martin Olsson, Angelo Vistoli, Tame stacks in positive characteristic.

R. W. Thomason, Algebraic K theory of group scheme actions.